UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,987	02/19/2004	Gregory B. Altshuler	105090-230	4359
	7590 04/16/200 CLENNEN & FISH LL	EXAMINER		
WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD			SHAY, DAVID M	
BOSTON, MA 02210-2604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3769	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@nutter.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/783,987	ALTSHULER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	david shay	3769	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Janual</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the process.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)	wn from consideration. 4 and 67-73 is/are rejected.	application.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any accomplished any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the I	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 3769

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 14, 2009 has been entered.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The drawings are objected to because in Figures 7a, 7b, 7c, and 8-13 none of the elements therein are labeled with indicia indicative of their function. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The amendment filed January 14, 2009 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: "the range of about 1350 nm to about 2700 nm".

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Art Unit: 3769

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 38-41, 43, 44, 47-50, and 70-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The originally filed disclosure is silent on "the range of about 1350 nm to about 2700 nm" and "fluence in a range of about 0.01 J/cm² to about 0.5 J/cm²".

Claims 10-14, 16-21, 24-26, 32, 36-39, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Caisey et al.

Caisey et al employ applicant's disclosed parameters and thus inherently will produce the variously claimed results. If the method of Caisey et al does not produce these results, either applicant's claims are incomplete, or the disclosure is fatally defective.

Claims 10-14, 16-21, 24-34, 36-41, 43, 44, 47-54, 56-64, and 67-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by McDaniel ('283).

The wavelengths disclosed by McDaniel ('283) are in a ranges of about 360 nm to about 600 nm; 1200 nm to 1400 nm and 1350 nm to 2700 nm (see for example paragraphs [0012],

Art Unit: 3769

[0042], [0079], and [0081]). Also taught are the pulse widths and fluences claimed (see paragraphs [0083] and [0144]) as well as altering parameters and the treatment of various conditions (see paragraphs [0065], [0069], [0074], and [0082]-[0087]). McDaniel ('283) employs applicant's disclosed parameters and thus inherently will produce the variously claimed results. If the method of McDaniel ('283) does not produce these results, either applicant's claims are incomplete, or the disclosure is fatally defective. Further, the proper combination of parameters necessary to provide the various effects must be within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art, since even applicants narrowest claims would require the determination of the

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-14, 16-21, 24-41, 43, 44, 47-54, 56-64, and 67-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Altshuler et al in combination with McDaniel ('283).

Altshuler et al teach a device and method as claimed except for the particular pulse widths, fluences and wavelengths a billionth of a nanometer different from the claimed ranges.

McDaniel ('283) teaches treating hair using pulse widths and fluences within the claimed range. It would have been obvious to the artisan or ordinary skill to employ the pulse widths and fluences of McDaniel ('283) in the device and method of Altshuler et al, since Altshuler et al make it clear that a vast array of combinations of parameters can be used as long as they are related in the ways defined therein, or alternatively, to employ the parameter interrelations taught

by Altshuler et al in the device and method of McDaniel ('283), since the parameter interrelations of Altshuler et al are useful for providing hair treatment, and in either case to decrease the lowest wavelength by 1 nanometer (a decrease of 0.16%) or increase the highest wavelength by 1 nanometer (a 0.085% increase), the proper combination of parameters necessary to provide the various effects must be within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art, since even applicants narrowest claims would require the determination of the proper combination of parameters from among trillions of possibilities, and in either case, to employ shaving clipping application of a depilatory cream or the use of electromagnetic radiation, since this is not critical; is well within the skill of one having ordinary skill in the art; provides no unexpected result; and these are known methods for removing hair, and one of ordinary skill in the art would appreciate their equivalence to the waxing used by thus producing a device and method such as claimed.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-14, 16-21, 24-41, 43, 44, 47-54, 56-64, and 67-73 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to david shay whose telephone number is (571) 272-4773. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Johnson, can be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 10/783,987

Art Unit: 3769

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/david shay/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3769

Page 7